CLINICAL ASSESSMENT OF CHRONIC LYMPHOCYTIC LEUKEMIA (CLL) SAMPLES FOR SOMATIC HYPERMUTATION STATUS BY NEXT-GENERATION SEQUENCING AND SANGER SEQUENCING

- Somatic hypermutation (SHM), is an important process to increase the affinity of immunoglobulin molecules. The presence of $\geq 2\%$ SHM is an important prognostic factor for CLL samples.
- The current method used to determine SHM status requires two steps: a PCR/capillary electrophoresis (CE) step for IGH FR1 to detect clonality, followed by a Sanger sequencing step. This multistep approach is labor intensive and time consuming.

Materials and Methods

FIGURE 1. PRIMER DESIGN

minvivoscribe*



LymphoTrack[®] Dx SHM assays have been developed for both the MiSeq and PGM NGS platforms. Both assays and NGS platforms were used in this study. The MiSeq SHM Assay employs two master mixes. One amplifies genomic DNA between the upstream leader (VHL) region and the downstream joining (J) region of the *IGH* gene. The other amplifies from the framework1 (FR1) to J region. Amplicon products from VHL/J primers span the entire variable (V) region. Amplicon products from FR1/J primers encompass portions of the FR1 region to the downstream J region. The PGM Assay only employs FR1/J primers. The proprietary V and J consensus primers were designed and adapted to enable the PCR products to be sequenced on either the MiSeq or PGM platform. The IGH Leader and IGH FR1 assays for MiSeq use 24 indices allowing analysis of 22 patient samples. *IGH* FR1 assay for PGM uses 12 indices allowing analysis of 10 patient samples. Turnaround time for the FR1 analysis of 22 patient samples on either platform is 3 days. Analysis for the *IGH* Leader is 4 days due to the increased sequencing length of the amplicon.

FIGURE 3. LYMPHOTRACK Dx SHM SOFTWARE



A typical MiSeq IGH FR1 result from a BM sample showing evidence for Software running on a Windows PC. LymphoTrack[®] Dx Software clonal read for the calculation of mismatches and gaps to the V-gene clonality with one sequence at 61.69% of the total reads and mutation generates frequences, V-J assignment reference sequence; 2) the mutation rate is calculated as the sum of rate at 5.24%. Only the top 10 sequences are presented here. FASTQ and SHM status. The SHM status is determined two ways: 1) mismatches and gaps divided by the V-gene length. data from either MiSeq or PGM can be analyzed by LymphoTrack[®] Dx alignment statistics obtained from the BLAST algorithm for the top



Genomic DNA (50 ng) was amplified with consensus primers generated using the MiSeq v2 Reagent kit (500 cycles) for FR1 using a single multiplex PCR. Amplicons were purified using or the MiSeq v3 Reagent kit (600 cycles) for the Leader assay. the Agencourt[®] AMPure XP PCR Purification system before PGM data was generated using the Ion PGM Template OT2 pooling of the libraries. Amplicon libraries were quantified 400 kit and Sequencing 400 kit. *IGH* Leader was not analyzed before being loaded onto the MiSeg or PGM. MiSeg data was on the PGM due to length limitations of the PGM platform.

Introduction

- Next-generation sequencing (NGS) based LymphoTrack[®] Dx SHM assays (MiSeq[®] IGH FR1, MiSeq Leader and PGM *IGH* FR1) have been developed to address these limitations.
- The clinical performance of LymphoTrack[®] Dx *IGH* assays to detect SHM status was assessed with 50 anonymous, blinded CLL samples (17 BM and 33 PB) in comparison with the traditional PCR/Sanger method.

FIGURE 2. WORKFLOW

e	Length	Raw count	V-gene	J-gene	% total reads	Cumulative %	Mutation rate to partial V-gene (%)	In-frame (Y/N)	No Stop codon (Y/N)	V-coverage
TCA	290	354037	IGHV3-43_01	IGHJ6_02	61.69	61.69	5.24	Y	Y	97.82
TCA	287	8147	IGHV3-43_01	IGHJ6_02	1.42	63.10	5.24	Υ	Y	97.82
TCA	290	6798	IGHV3-43_01	IGHJ6_02	1.18	64.29	5.68	Y	Y	97.82
TCA	290	6602	IGHV3-43_01	IGHJ6_02	1.15	65.44	5.68	Y	Y	97.82
TCA	290	4082	IGHV3-43_01	IGHJ6_02	0.71	66.15	5.24	Y	Y	97.82
TCA	290	2364	IGHV3-43_01	IGHJ6_02	0.41	66.56	5.68	Y	Y	97.82
ТСС	290	1672	IGHV3-43_01	IGHJ6_02	0.29	66.85	5.68	Y	Y	97.82
TCA	290	1494	IGHV3-43_01	IGHJ6_02	0.26	67.11	5.68	Y	Y	97.82
TCA	290	1361	IGHV3-43_01	IGHJ6_02	0.24	67.35	5.24	Y	Y	97.38
TCA	290	1314	IGHV3-43_01	IGHJ6_02	0.23	67.58	5.68	Y	Y	97.82

Maria Arcila¹, Ying Huang^{*2}, Kasey Hutt², Jeff Panganiban², Tessara Baldi¹, Khedoudja Nafa¹, Jordan Thornes², Jeffrey E. Miller² and Michael Klass²

¹Diagnostic Molecular Pathology Laboratory, Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center, New York, United States ²Invivoscribe Technologies, Inc., San Diego, United States

Results

- The standard CE/Sanger method was able to detect 47 out of 50 samples with 30 (64%) samples exhibiting SHM rate \geq 2.0 and 17 (36%) samples exhibiting SHM rate < 2.0. Three samples were not evaluable and were excluded for comparison in Table 1.
- The LymphoTrack Dx IGH FR1 Assay MiSeq was able to detect 50 out of 50 samples with 31 (62%) samples exhibiting SHM rate \geq 2.0 and 19 (38%) samples exhibiting SHM rate < 2.0.

TABLE 1. SENSITIVITY, SPECIFICITY AND PREDICTIVE VALUES OF THE THREE LYMPHOTRACK Dx ASSAYS FOR DETERMINING SHM STATUS^A

Toct		No. of samples wit	ch indicated result ^b	$\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{O}}$	Specificity (%)	PPV (%)	NPV (%)	
Test	S+T+ S+T-		S-T+	S-T-				– Sensitivity (%)
MiSeq FR1	30 (9 BM and 21PB)	0	0	17 (6 BM and 11PB)	100	100	100	100
MiSeq Leader	30 (9 BM and 21 PB)	0	1 ^c (PB)	16 (6 BM and 10 PB)	100	94	97	100
PGM FR1	30 (9 BM and 21 PB)	0	0	17 (6 BM and 11 PB)	100	100	100	100

^a S, standard (CE/Sanger for FR1); T, NGS test; +, positive (SHM ≥ 2.0%); -, negative (SHM < 2.0); PPV, positive predictive value; NPV, negative predictive value. ^bThree samples were not evaluable by standard Sanger method.

^c Additional mutations occur upstream of FR1 primer increasing the SHM rate from 1.8% to 2.4%.

FIGURE 4. SHM CONCORDANCE



 A comprehensive NGS assay has been developed for both MiSeq and PGM platforms that identifies clonal IGHV-J rearrangements, associated specific V-J region DNA sequences and determines the SHM status in CLL specimens as well as likelihood of expression with the determination of the sequence being in frame, the absence of stop codons and the degree of V coverage.

Conclusions

• A comprehensive NGS assay has been developed for both MiSeq and PGM platforms that identifies clonal • These NGS assays have demonstrated excellent clinical concordance for determining SHM status as IGHV-J rearrangements, associated specific V-J region DNA sequences and determines the SHM status in compared to the standard PCR/Sanger sequencing method. CLL specimens as well as likelihood of expression with the determination of the sequence being in frame, the absence of stop codons and the degree of V coverage.

- The LymphoTrack Dx IGH Leader Assay MiSeq was able to detect 50 out of 50 samples with 32 (64%) samples exhibiting SHM rate \geq 2.0 and 18 (36%) samples exhibiting SHM rate < 2.0.
- The LymphoTrack Dx IGH FR1 Assay PGM was able to detect 50 out of 50 samples with 31 (62%) samples exhibiting SHM rate \geq 2.0 and 19 (38%) samples exhibiting SHM rate < 2.0.

• These NGS assays have demonstrated excellent clinical concordance for determining SHM status as compared to the standard PCR/Sanger sequencing method.